Instructions for *PTPBio* reviewers

Thank you for agreeing to review a manuscript for *Philosophy, Theory, and Practice in Biology*.

Please submit your review by emailing the editor who contacted you or editors@ptpbio.org. (To minimize costs, the journal does not currently subscribe to a submission manager.) Include the review either in the email body or as an attachment.

The journal is selective. We list a recent acceptance rate on our front page, but more generally we publish only work that makes a contribution to the literature. Our online format entails that we do not have print pages we need to fill; likewise, unconstrained by page limits, we can publish valuable work whenever we receive it. We want to know whether you can imagine a version of this article being valuable to you or your colleagues in your scholarship or teaching.

The editors welcome any comments you have for the author(s) about the manuscript, both large and small, including suggestions for how the manuscript can be improved. Although you shouldn't hesitate to be critical if the article calls for it, please be respectful and constructive in your criticisms, and if warranted, please share any positive comments as well. We also welcome comments that you want to share only with the editors, including any recommendations about whether to accept the manuscript. We will separate them from the review we share with authors.

Please note in your review any missing citations. *PTPBio* expects articles to identify sources of ideas they discuss and also to acknowledge existing, closely-related work. Please pay particular attention to the work of women and other minorities, as some studies have shown that these sources are more likely to be overlooked.

*PTPBio*’s “doubly-anonymous” review process means that the journal does not share authors’ identities with reviewers and does not share reviewers’ identities with authors. The journal also asks authors to remove identifying information from submitted manuscripts. The journal does not, however, prevent reviewers from intentionally revealing their identities to authors, if they want to do so.

Some reviewers feel they can guess authors’ identities and worry that that compromises the anonymous process. Our view is that given a relatively small academic community, we prefer expert reviewers who may be able to guess authors’ identities over second-choice reviewers who are less likely to guess. Still, we ask you not to research authors’ identities when reviewing.

If you are aware of any relationships or potential conflicts of interest that could influence your review, please note them. We will value your review all the same, but such information can provide useful context.

If you have questions of any sort, please feel free to email us at editors@ptpbio.org. We very much appreciate your volunteering your time and expertise to the journal.

– *PTPBio* editors
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